

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

AN ELEMENTARY DEDUCTION OF TAYLOR'S FORMULA.

By W. H. Echols, Charlottesville, Va.

In looking over some of my mathematical notes written ten years ago, I came across the following attempt at a deduction of Taylor's formula which was then considered to lack rigor. Upon re-reading it, its novelty seems to possess sufficient interest to warrant its publication.

Let fx represent a function of the real variable x, which is uniform, finite, and continuous as are also its successive derivatives throughout an interval between x = a and $x = \beta$.

Let a be any arbitrarily chosen point in the interval $(a\beta)$, and x any variable point in this interval (a < x).

By Lagrange's form of Rolle's theorem, we have

$$fx - fa = (x - a) f'u_1.$$
 $a < u_1 < x$

But since the derivatives of fx are also uniform, finite and continuous throughout $(\alpha\beta)$, we must have, by the same theorem

$$\begin{split} f'u_1 - f'a &= (u_1 - a) \, f''u_2 \,, & a < u_2 < u_1 \\ f''u_2 - f''a &= (u_2 - a) \, f'''u_3 \,, & a < u_3 < u_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ f^nu_n - f^na &= (u_n - a) \, f'^{n+1}u_{n+1} \,, & a < u_{n+1} < u_n \end{split}$$

Multiply this set of equalities respectively by

$$(x-a)(u_0-a)(u_1-a)\dots(u_r-a).$$
 $(u_0-a\equiv 1)(r\equiv 0\dots n-1)$

Then, by addition, we have

$$fx = fa + (x - a)f'a + (x - a)(u_1 - a)f''a + \dots$$

$$+ (x - a)(u_1 - a)\dots(u_{n-1} - a)f^na$$

$$+ (x - a)(u_1 - a)\dots(u_n - a)f^{n+1}u_{n+1}.$$

 u_r is some function of x, and is equal to a when x = a. Whence $u_r - a$ is a function of x which vanishes when x = a. We may therefore write

$$u_r - a = (x - a) \psi_r x$$
,

wherein $\psi_{r}x$ is some function of x. We then have

$$(x-a)(u_1-a)\dots(u_r-a) = (x-a)^{r+1} \phi_1 x \phi_2 x \dots \phi_r x$$

= $(x-a)^{r+1} \varphi_{r+1} x$,

wherein

$$\varphi_{r+1} x = \psi_1 x \, \psi_2 x \dots \psi_r x$$

is to be determined.

Substituting, we have

$$fx = fa + (x - a) f'a + (x - a)^2 \varphi_2 x f''a + \dots$$
$$+ (x - a)^n \varphi_n x f^n a + (x - a)^{n+1} \varphi_{n+1} x f^{n+1} u_{n+1}.$$

Differentiate this equality successively with respect to x, and in the results put x = a. We obtain

$$\varphi_2 a = \frac{1}{2!}; \quad \varphi_3 a = \frac{1}{3!}; \quad \dots; \quad \varphi_{n+1} a = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}.$$

But a is any arbitrary point in the interval $(a\beta)$, therefore these are the values of the φ functions throughout the interval $(a\beta)$. Hence

$$fx = fa + (x-a)f'a + \ldots + \frac{(x-a)^n}{n!}f^na + \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}f^{n+1}u_{n+1}.$$

University of Virginia, Nov., 1893.